

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

FW

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,886	07/23/2003	Yuji Tawaragi	030900	6759
23850 7590 01/17/2007 ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			EXAMINER .	
			AGUSTIN, PETER VINCENT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 2627	
				·
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/624,886	TAWARAGI, YUJI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	P. Agustin	2627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 No. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 7 is/are rejected.  7) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-6 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/624,886 Page 2

Art Unit: 2627

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-7 are now pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 & 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Furukawa et al. (US 5,684,771) in view of Nakajima (EP 1187111 A2).

In regard to claim 1, Furukawa et al. disclose a recording medium type determining apparatus for determining a type of an optical disc in the reproducing state where the tracking servo control is kept open, said apparatus comprising: a signal generating section for generating a radial push-pull signal based on a read signal from a recording medium (note push-pull signal on Figure 5); a processing section for processing said radial push-pull signal by means of autocorrelation (on Figure 5, a focus sum signal is correlated with the push-pull signal); and a determining section for determining the type of said recording medium based on the data sent from said processing section (Figure 5, element 34 is a disk discriminating circuit).

However, Furukawa et al. do not explicitly disclose: in regard to claim 1, determining the type of recording medium by detecting the presence of the wobble.

Nakajima discloses: in regard to claim 1, determining the type of recording medium by detecting the presence of a wobble (abstract, lines 6-8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by the Applicant to have applied the teachings of

Art Unit: 2627

'n

Nakajima to the apparatus of Furukawa et al., the motivation being to maintain compatibility between different disk types (see last three lines of abstract, and column 2, paragraph 0008).

Claim 7 has limitations similar to those of claim 1; thus, it is rejected on the same basis.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 & 7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Furukawa et al. (US 2002/0172361) & Sako et al. (US 2003/0012098) are pertinent to Applicant's disclosure of distinguishing the type of disc based on the presence or absence of wobbles.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 10/624,886 Page 4

Art Unit: 2627

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to P. Agustin whose telephone number is 571-272-7567. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30-6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea Wellington can be reached on 571-272-4483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

P. Agustin Art Unit 2627

> Brian E. Miller Primary Examiner Art Unit 2627